

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,118	04/01/2005	Dirk Adolph	PD020099	2641
24498 Robert D. Shee	7590 04/12/201 Id, Patent Operations	1	EXAMINER ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S	
THOMSON Li	icensing LLC			
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/530,118	ADOLPH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANKLIN ANDRAMUNO	2424	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. \(\times \) The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filled on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filled within two months of the date of

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFH 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFH 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) D will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

- AFFIDAVIT ON OTHER EVIDENCE.

 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 3 of SFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFF 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. \(\overline{\text{The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\overline{\text{See Continuation Sheet.}} \)
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

/Pankaj Kumar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2467 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on page 5 second paragraph, "applicants claim limitation at question is includating a stream path type of various sub stream paths." While placents point is understood. Examiner respectfully disagrees. [The specs teach on page 3 paragraphs 3rd and 4th. Multiple stream paths may exist on the global time axis of the playlist. Any stream described by a playtem or a subplayletem may be an elementary or again a multiplex of streams.] Yamada teaches on (column 7 lines 52-65) the AV attribute table for menu (604) includes a number of the video streams or audio streams to be used in the menu, and an attribute of the video or audio stream. Therefore, Yamada actually teaches the definition of stream path type, according to the specification. Figure 26 also shows how the substream path type is acquired from the video file management information.

Applicant further argues on page 6 third paragraph, "there is clear evidence in Wolf that Wolf does not describe that the DVB mode and the DVD mode operate in parallel." Examiner again disagrees. Wolf teaches on (column 3 lines 1-3) decoder 20 uses three data paths in either the DVD or DVB mode of operation, namely a video data path, an audio data path, and a control path. Therefore, Wolf states the existence of two different modes of operation Digital Versatile Disk (DVD) or Digital Video Broadcast (DVB). These two modes of operation are parallel because they are completely independent from each other. The information that is manipulated by the video and audio decoder does not determine if the system is in fact parallel or not. The decoder is only used to process the video signal and display the independent mode (either DVD or DVB).